



Remarks on Basic Principles for Establishment Regional Platform on S&T Evaluation

Aleksan Shahkhatuni

State Committee of Science
Ministry of Education and Science
Republic of Armenia


Regional Workshop “**Evaluation and Expertise for Scientific
Excellence in the EaP Region**”, Chisinau, Moldova, 7-8 May 2014

Two Schemes

Individual



Institutional





Comparison

Individual

- Advantages
 - Secure for applicants
 - Delivery experts
 - Expert responsible
- Disadvantages
 - Low role of national bodies (only delivering names)
 - Low information (how good experts acting as experts)
 - Don't used experience of national bodies

Institutional

- Advantages
 - Secure for experts
 - Delivery reviews
 - Body responsible
 - Monitoring experts
 - Easy for paying
- Disadvantages
 - Not covering all fields
 - Unequally quality in different fields



Regional Platform's Acts

Individual

- Experts Selection
- Experts monitoring

Institutional

- Country/body selection
- Country/body monitoring



Regional Platform's Function

- Join national bodies, not experts
- Evaluation of proposals from different countries together
- Synchronizing national calls
- Collection, mixing and grouping proposals from different countries
- Independent random selection of reviewing countries for each group of proposals

Conclusions

- Simultaneous evaluation of proposals from regional countries
- Maximal use of experience and potential of national bodies
- Be ready for further joining to EU platform

